

Department of Energy

Western Area Power Administration
Desert Southwest Customer Service Region
P.O. Box 6457
Phoenix, AZ 85005-6457

MAR 2 5 2004

Dear Parker-Davis Project Customer:

In response to our letter and analysis that was sent out on March 5, 2004, regarding early implementation of Post-2008 allocations for small customers, we have received comment back requesting Western host an informal meeting to allow for further discussion. All comments received as of this date have been posted on the website for your review at http://www.wapa.gov/dsw/pwrmkt. We have also attached a copy of the March 5th letter for your convenience.

The meeting is scheduled for April 8, 2004 at 9:00 a.m. and will be held at Western's Desert Southwest Regional office, located at 615 South 43rd Avenue, Phoenix, AZ.

If you have any questions feel free to contact Brian Young at 602-352-2594 or myself at 602-352-2585.

Sincerely

Penny D. Casey

Resources Manager

for Power Marketing

Enclosure

Mr. William Cyr Aha Macav Power Service PO Box 6870 Mohave Valley, AZ 86446

Ms. Patricia E. Cooper Arizona Electric Power Cooperative Inc. P.O. Box 670 Benson, AZ 85602-0670

Mr. Mark Schwirtz Arizona Electric Power Cooperative Inc. 3525 N. US Highway 191 S. Cochise, AZ 85606

Mr. Orlando Foote Attorney at Law 895 Broadway El Centro, CA 92243

Mr. Ron Connelly Bureau of Reclamation BCOO-4823 P.O. Box 61470 Boulder City, NV 89006-1470

Mr. Timothy J. Ulrich Bureau of Reclamation Lower Colorado Dams Office Hoover Dam, Hwy 93 P.O. Box 60400 Boulder City, NV 89006-0400

Mr. Frank McRae City of Mesa Utilities Department P O Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466 Mr. Walter T. Bray Arizona Electric Power Cooperative Inc. P.O. Box 670 Benson, AZ 85602-0670

Mr. Donald W. Kimball Arizona Electric Power Cooperative Inc. P.O. Box 670 Benson, AZ 85602

Ms. Ann Alvarez Arizona Electric Power Cooperative P O Box 670 Benson, AZ 85602-0670

Mr. Neil I. Messer Bureau of Indian Affairs Colorado River Agency Route 1, Box 9-C Parker, AZ 85344

Mr. Ronald C. Smith Bureau of Reclamation BCOO-4800 P.O. Box 61470 Boulder City, NV 89006-1470

Mr. Randy Bee City of Mesa Utilities Department P O Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466

Mr. Dave C. Plumb City of Mesa Electric Utilities P.O. Box 1466 Mesa, AZ 85211-1466 Mr. Pete Dwyer City of Needles 817 Third Street Needles, CA 92363

Mr. Allen J. Anspach Colorado River Agency Bureau of Indian Affairs Route 1 Box 9C Agency Road, Building 3 Parker, AZ 85344

Mr. George M. Caan Colorado River Commission of Nevada 555 East Washington Ave., Suite 3100 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1065

Mr. Craig Pyper Colorado River Commission of Nevada 555 East Washington Avenue, STE 3100 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1048

Mr. Malvin R. Ware Colorado River Commission of Nevada 555 East Washington Avenue Suite 3100 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1048

Mr. Charles Reinhold Electric Resource Strategies 2502 Cemetery Lane Council, ID 83612-5036

Mr. Del Wakimoto Fort Mojave Indian Tribe P.O. Box 5229 Mohave Valley, AZ 86446 Mr. Robert Walker City of Needles Needles Customer Service Center 817 Third Street Needles, CA 92363

Mrs. Gail Bates Colorado River Commission of Nevada 555 East Washington Avenue Suite 3100 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1048

Mr. Gerald A. Lopez Colorado River Commission of Nevada 555 East Washington Avenue, STE 3100 Suite 3100 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1048

Mr. James D. Salo Colorado River Commission of Nevada 555 E. Washington Ave, Ste 3100 Las Vegas, NV 89101

Mr. Dave Kolk Complete Energy Services, Inc. Consultant for City of Needles 650 E. Parkridge Ave, Ste # 110 Corona, CA 92879

Mr. Grant R. Ward Electrical District No. 3 41630 W. Louis Johnson Drive Maricopa, AZ 85239

Mr. Frank Barbera Imperial Irrigation District 333 East Barioni Avenue Imperial, CA 92251 Mr. Glenn O. Steiger Imperial Irrigation District 333 East Barioni Avenue Imperial, CA 92251

Kenneth R. Saline, P.E. K. R. Saline & Associates PLC 160 N. Pasadena, Ste 101 Mesa, AZ 85201-6764

Mr. Leonard S. Gold L. S. Gold & Associates, Inc 4645 S. Lakeshore Dr., Ste. 16 Tempe, AZ 85282

Michael A. Curtis, Esq. Martinez & Curtis, P.C. 2712 North 7th Street Phoenix, AZ 85006-1090

Ms. Ann T. Finley Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Power Resources Branch P.O. Box 54153, Terminal Annex Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

Mr. Robert E. Broz Mohave Electric Cooperative Inc. P.O. Box 1045 Bullhead City, AZ 86430

Mr. Rudy L. Quilon Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest Division, Code 5GWU.RQ Building 110 1220 Pacific Highway San Diego. CA 92132-5190 Mr. Dennis L. Delaney K. R. Saline & Associates PLC 160 N. Pasadena Suite 101 Mesa, AZ 85201-6764

Mr. Jeffrey J. Woner K.R. Saline & Associates, PLC 160 North Pasadena, Suite 101 Mesa, AZ 85201-6764

Ms. Sandy Grauel
March Air Reserve Base
452 Operational Contracting Office
1940 Graeber Street, Building 449
March Air Reserve Base, CA 92518-1650

Mr. Tom Hine Martinez & Curtis, P.C. 2712 N. 7th Street Pheonix, AZ 85006-1090

Jon C. Lambeck, P.E., Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Water Systems Operation Group P.O. Box 54153, Terminal Annex Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

Ms. Melody Bell National Nuclear Security Admin. (DOE) Nevada Site Office 232 Energy Way North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Robert S. Lynch, Esq., Robert S. Lynch & Associates 340 E. Palm Lane, Suite 140 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4551 Mr. John D. Coggins Salt River Project Supply & Trading P.O. Box 52025 Mail Station: ISB250 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

Mr. Mark S. Mitchell Salt River Project P.O. Box 52025 Mail Stop ISB250 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

Mr. Robert Carolin San Carlos Irrigation Project Bureau of Indian Affairs P.O. Box 250 Coolidge, AZ 85228

Mr. Michael Miller San Carlos Irrigation Project Bureau of Indian Affairs P.O. Box 250 Coolidge, AZ 85228

Mr. Larry D. Huff Southwest Transmission Cooperative P.O. Box 2195 Benson, AZ 85602

Mr. Loran Nixon Tohono O'Odham Utility Authority P.O. Box 816 Sells, AZ 85634-0816

Mr. Greg Honey Town of Fredonia P.O. Box 217 Fredonia, AZ 86022 Mr. Bill W. Martin Salt River Project P.O. Box 52025, ISB 250 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

Mr. James Trangsrud Salt River Project Mail Station: ISB250 P.O. Box 52025 Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

Mr. Dan Gambill San Carlos Irrigation Project P.O. Box 250 Coolidge, AZ 85228

Mr. Charles R. Tate San Carlos Irrigation Project Bureau of Indian Affairs 13805 North Arizona Boulevard Coolidge, AZ 85228

Mr. Richard Kurtz Southwest Transmission Corporation P.O. Box 670 Benson, AZ 85602-0670

Mr. Charles W. Wiese Tohono O'Odham Utility Authority P.O. Box 816 Sells, AZ 85634

Mr. Stephen Winward Town of Fredonia P.O. Box 217 Fredonia, AZ 86022 Mr. Terry Hinton Town of Thatcher 3700 West Main Street P.O. Box 670 Thatcher, AZ 85552

Ms. Pamela E. Nevels U S Army Yuma Proving Grounds US Army Yuma Proving Bround Office of Contracting Bldg 2100 Yuma. AZ 85365-9106

Mr. Jim M. Snook U.S. DAF Tyndall Air Force Base Utility Rates Management Team HQ AFCESA/CESM 139 Barnes Drive, Suite One Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319

Mr. John Li U.S. DAF Luke Air Force Base 56 Civil Engineering Squadron 13970 W. Lightning, Bldg 343 Luke AFB, AZ 85309-1217

Ms. Mary C. Boswell U.S. DAF March Air Reserve Base 452 Operational Contracting Officer 1940 Graeber Street, Bldg 449 March ARB, CA 92518-1650

Mr. Charles Transley U.S. DAF Nellis Air Force Base 99 CES/CEOE 6020 Beale Avenue Suite 119 Nellis AFB, NV 89191-7245

Mr. Richard Darnall Utility Resource Services, Inc. 15811 North 9th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85023 Ms. Stephanie Wojcik Town of Wickenburg 155 N. Tegner Street, Suite A Wickenburg, AZ 85390

Ms. Melanie Ricker U.S. DAF Edwards Air Force Base Civil Engineering 95 ABW/CER 225 N. Rosamond Blvd, Bldg 3500 Edwards AFB, CA 93524-8540

Mr. Michael Keeling U.S. DAF Edwards Air Force Base AFFTC/PKO 5 South Wolfe Avenue Edwards AFB, CA 93524

Mr. Alan J. Zero U.S. DAF Luke Air Force Base 56th Contracting Squadron 14100 W. Eagle Street Luke AFB, AZ 85309-1217

Ms. Richarda E. Maes U.S. DAF Nellis Air Force Base 99 CONS/LGCV 5862 Swaab Blvd Nellis AFB, NV 89191-7063

Ms. Marsha Dodson USMC, Marine Corps Air Station-Yuma Southwest Division, Code 4PW2.MD Naval Facilities Engineering Command 1220 Pacific Highway San Diego, CA 92132-5190

Ms. Susan Lozier Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District 30570 Wellton-Mohawk Drive Wellton, AZ 85356 Mr. Charles W. Slocum Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation And Drainage District 30570 Wellton-Mohawk Drive Wellton, AZ 85356

Mr. Mark R. Smith Yuma Irrigation District 9548 Aravy Road Yuma, AZ 85365

Mr. James (Bud) Rhodes Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District 14329 S. Fourth Avenue Extension Yuma, AZ 85365 Mr. Rex C. Green Yuma Irrigation District 9510 Avenue 7E Yuma, AZ 85365-7809

Mr. Bill Plummer Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District 5600 East Indian Bend Road Scottsdale, AZ 85253

Mr. Jack L. Nixon Yuma Proving Grounds U.S. Department of the Army ATTN: CSTE-DTC-YP-CS-PW, Bldg 308 301 C Street Yuma, AZ 85365-9498



Department of Energy

Western Area Power Administration
Desert Southwest Customer Service Region
P.O. Box 6457
Phoenix, AZ 85005-6457

MAR - 5 2004

Dear Parker-Davis Project Customer:

Western has received a letter from K.R. Saline and associates requesting flexibility to allow the Town of Thatcher and the Town of Wickenburg to implement their Parker-Davis Project (P-DP) Firm Electric Service (FES) allocations at the beginning of any Fiscal Year prior to October 1, 2008. The Town of Fredonia, Yuma Irrigation District, and Fort Mohave could also potentially benefit from early implementation of their post-2008 allocations. Western has evaluated this request, and the summary analyses attached address the impacts on P-DP capacity, energy and associated transmission. These summaries describe the near term hydrological conditions, the capacity impacts, and the estimated energy generation from P-DP. The capacity should be available at all times and should not cause any additional capacity purchases. However, during the near term time period between now and 2008, the effect of the extra energy to these five customers is likely to result in a reduction of excess energy offerings and increased energy purchases.

There are several alternative methods that can be used to fulfill this request. Each alternative has issues that must be considered and addressed before a decision to implement is made.

One alternative would be to implement the rounded up allocations as part of the P-DP remarketing process. Under this alternative, there would be additional revenue to P-DP for both capacity and energy. The cost of additional energy purchases would be included as part of the rate to be paid for by all P-DP customers. Other issues to be considered as part of this alternative include whether or not to implement the requirement to begin payment for the Replacement Advances Reconciliation Surcharge and to revise proportionate shares for funding under the P-DP Generation Advancement of Funds Contract prior to October 1, 2008. In addition, the decision to increase these allocations effective October 1, 2008 was made as part of a public process, and Western would need to determine what process is required in order to revise the decision published in the May 5, 2003 Federal Register Notice.

Another alternative would be to implement this request under the existing Marketing Plan in accordance with Part VII, Section C of the Conformed General Consolidated Power Marketing Criteria for Boulder City Area Projects, wherein, Western has the flexibility to offer short-term agreements to the extent that capacity and energy in excess of long-term contract commitments become available and as conditions permit. This alternative would be implemented using pass through cost contracts separate from the Firm Electric Service contract with each of the five customers listed above. There are several different ways that these separate contracts could be structured, as described below:

- Offer a separate pass through contract, whereby if P-DP has the capacity and energy available Western would sell it to the five customers at the current P-DP rate in effect, and collect the revenue into the project. If the capacity and/or energy is unavailable and Western has to purchase on the spot market, we would pass those costs on to the five customers. This option brings revenue back to the project, but could also lessen the amount of excess energy offered to the P-DP customers if it becomes available.
- Offer a separate contract whereby Western would sell the P-DP capacity to the five customers at the current P-DP rate in effect, but would purchase the associated energy on the spot market. Since the risk of having the additional capacity is negligible this would provide a revenue stream back to the project and also provide a benefit to the five customers. With the associated energy being purchased on the spot market there is no impact to the amount of excess energy offered to the P-DP customers if it becomes available. This contract could also be tailored so that the capacity is evaluated on a yearly basis and only offered when it is projected to be available, which would help lessen the risk of a capacity purchase to the remaining P-DP customers.

Western is dedicated to helping all customers receive reliable power with the least economic impact. Please provide your comments on the alternatives listed above by March 19, 2004. If you would like to discuss this issue further in an informal meeting, please include that request in your comments back to Western.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss this further, you may contact either Penny Casey at 602-352-2585 or myself at 602-352-2555.

Sincerely,

Jean Gray

Assistant Regional Manager for Power Marketing

Enclosures (2)

Projected Parker-Davis Generation FY2005-FY2008 & Energy for Rounded Allocations

Background

Several existing Parker-Davis Firm Electric Service (FES) contractors are to receive an increased allocation as of October 1, 2008 as a result of the extension of the FES contracts published in the May 5, 2003 FRN. A request has been made to evaluate the impact of providing these allocation increases at the time of the effective date of the contract amendments of October 1, 2004 (FY2005). This paper will discuss the probability of the additional energy for the increased allocation being available from Parker-Davis generation from FY2005 through FY2008.

Generation & Energy Requirements

The existing annual energy obligation for Parker-Davis FES and Project Use is 1345.8 GWh. The energy required to meet the increased allocation would be about 6.1 GWh, thus it would require total generation of 1351.9 GWh to meet this additional load.

Generation for FY05 is projected to be 1338 GWh (USBR 24-month study). This is based on water deliveries for a Normal Water Year level of 9.0 MAF to the Lower Basin States and Mexico. (Note: The 2005 Water Year will almost certainly be declared a Partial Domestic Surplus based upon Mead elevation at the end of 2004. However, at this time it is expected that MWD will not take surplus water due to sufficient in-state storage. Thus only Normal deliveries are anticipated.) This will be the basis used in this report for an average Normal water year generation. The head at Davis and Parker are essentially constant between years and the actual generation varies almost entirely with the water deliveries. The Normal year water deliveries vary only about +/- 1% due mostly to overruns or underruns or slight variations in the net of inflow and losses between Davis and Mexico.

During a Partial Domestic Surplus year (Mead above 1125 ft.), there would be about 140 KAF of additional releases through Davis generating about 17.4 GWh of additional energy assuming that MWD takes the surplus water. The average energy during a Partial Domestic Surplus would be 1338 GWH + 17.4 GWh or 1355.4 GWh. This would be subject to the same +/- 1% variation as a Normal year. Thus, there would be sufficient generation for the increased allocation during the average Partial Domestic Surplus year, but not at the lower end of the range.

For a Full Domestic Surplus Year (Mead above 1145 ft.), there would be at least 400 KAF of additional releases through Davis generating at least 49.6 GWh of additional energy assuming MWD takes the surplus water. This would always be sufficient to supply the additional energy associated with the increased allocations.

Therefore the probability of having the additional energy available for a Normal, Partial Surplus, or Full Surplus Water Year are as follows:

Normal Water Year

Range = 1324.6 to 1351.4 GWh (1338 GWh +/- 1%)

Between 1324.6 to 1345.8 GWh there is no additional energy (79% probability)

Between 1345.8 to 1351.4 GWh there is partial energy available (21% probability)

Partial Domestic Surplus

Range = 1341.8 to 1369.0 GWh (1355.4 GWh +/- 1%)

Between 1341.8 to 1345.8 GWh there is no additional energy (15% probability)

Between 1345.8 to 1351.9 GWh there is partial energy available (22% probability)

Between 1351.9 to 1369 GWh there is sufficient energy available (63% probability)

Full Domestic Surplus

100% probability of sufficient energy available

Probability of Normal and Surplus Water Years

Based on current hydrological conditions there is a virtual 100% probability of a Partial Surplus year in FY05. The only condition that could cause this to change would be if MWD were to change their water order and take the surplus water available to them. This is not anticipated, however, even if this did happen there would only be a very slight chance of a Normal water year in FY05.

For the remaining years, the USBR 24-month study numbers were used to determine projected storage conditions at the end of 2004. After 2004, Lake Mead will drop below 1125 ft. elevation unless there is sufficient Colorado River Basin runoff to supply the annual consumptive use, plus refill Lake Powell sufficiently to make equalization releases to Mead. Based on the end of 2004 conditions, there will be a deficit of about 5.5 MAF in depleted storage and dry soil conditions for Mead to remain above 1125 ft. elevation (partial surplus). An additional 3.2 MAF is required to fill Mead to 1145 ft. elevation (full surplus). The runoff would need to be sufficient to make up these deficits in additional actual water use in order to reach these surplus levels.

Using historic traces of Colorado River runoff, the probabilities for each year are as follows:

Year	<u>Normal</u>	Partial Surplus	Full Surplus
FY05		100.00%	
FY06	85.42%	11.46%	3.13%
FY07	75.79%	10.53%	13.68%
FY08	70.21%	10.64%	19.15%

Probability of Additional Energy

Multiplying out the probabilities of having Normal, Partial or Full Surplus by the probabilities of having sufficient energy for each type of water supply year yields the overall probability of having sufficient energy to supply the increased allocation from generation. The probabilities for each year are as follows:

Average	50.7%	19.4%	29.9%
FY08	57.1%	17.1%	25.9%
FY07	61.5%	18.2%	20.3%
FY06	69.2%	20.5%	10.3%
FY05	15.0%	22.0%	63.0%
Year	No Energy	Partial Energy	Full Energy

Summary

Based upon the current hydrological conditions on the Colorado River, it is probable that there will not be sufficient energy generated to supply the energy associated with increased allocations from FY2005 through FY2008. There is about a 50% chance that none of the energy will be available in a given year and only about a 30% chance of the full energy being available.

These percentages assume that MWD will take the surplus water when it is available to them. Should MWD not take the surplus water in a given year, then the water deliveries would default to the Normal year values. Under Normal year deliveries there is only about a 20% probability of any additional energy available.

Projected Parker-Davis Capacity Available FY2005-FY2008

Background

The Parker-Davis Firm Electric Service (FES) capacity to be marketed as of October 1, 2008 will increase as a result of the extension of the FES contracts and creation of a new resource pool as published in the May 5, 2003 FRN. A request has been made to evaluate the impact of providing this capacity increase at the time of the effective date of the contract amendments of October 1, 2004 (FY2005). This paper will discuss the probability of the additional capacity allocation being available from Parker-Davis generation from FY2005 through FY2008. This paper does not address any associated energy with the increased capacity.

Current & Future Marketed Capacity

The existing capacity obligation for Parker-Davis FES and Project Use is 283.015 MW summer season, 218.358 MW winter season. The capacity marketed post-2008 will be 299.706 MW summer season and 231.165 MW winter season, an increase of about 16.7 MW and 12.8 MW respectively. The current marketed capacity was based upon total generator capacity of 300 MW at Davis and Parker and the post-2008 marketed capacity was based upon total generation capacity of 315 MW. Thus the margin of generator capacity over marketed capacity would be little changed post-2008 and should have little effect on ability to fulfill the capacity requirements from federal generation.

The post-2008 generation capacity of 315 MW assumed 4 Davis units at 51.75 MW, one Davis unit at 48 MW, and all Parker units at 30 MW. These are the current ratings of the units at Davis. However, the Parker units are currently 27 MW each. The post-2008 values assumed that the Parker turbine runners would be replaced about the start of the new allocations. The current total generation capacity is 309 MW. Over the period of FY2005 through FY2008, there should be on average one turbine replaced at Parker, increasing the average generation capacity available to 310.5 MW.

The impact of increased Parker-Davis capacity requirements were compared to the available generation capacity using calendar year 2003 data. Addition of capacity to serve the rounded up allocations (only about 1 MW) would have no impact on the hours where federal generation capacity was not sufficient to meet loads and reserves. Increases of capacity up to 10 MW would have a moderate impact. During this interim period, increases in capacity up to the post-2008 marketed amount would have significant impact (more than doubling the number of hours where federal generation did not have sufficient capacity). This is a result of the lesser Parker generation capacity for this interim period, and also because of lower capacity from other federal generation available due to poor hydrological conditions.

Transmission

In order to utilize any additional capacity, additional transmission capacity would be required for any contractors with increased capacity.

Summary

Additional capacity for the rounded up contractors would have no impact on the ability to meet the capacity requirements from federal generation. Providing capacity to the contractors in excess of this amount would gradually impact the ability to supply the capacity from federal generation. The impact increases more rapidly as the total capacity increase exceeds 10 MW.

Additional transmission capacity would need to be obtained for any additional capacity provided to the contractors.